

Kingdom Report

www.kingdomvision.co.za

Week of 23 September 2023

1609 Scientists Explain Why No Climate Emergency

There's no fossil fuel induced climate emergency. And the alarmist messaging pushed by global elites is political. That's what 1,609 scientists and informed professionals stated when they signed the Global Climate Intelligence Group's "World Climate Declaration".

As heat waves and ocean temperatures rise and earthquakes hit storm floods hit planet earth.....1609 eminent scientific scholars say two things. There is a difference between climate and weather. Climate trends have far more to do over hundreds of thousands of years with what is happening on the sun, cosmic radiation, the obliquity of the earth tilt (i.e. the Melankovitch Cycles). But human induced CO2 emissions are negligible.

I am doing this feedback report from a recent public release by eminent scientists to counter the political hysteria in the world media. The origin and purpose of the political program is world wide population reduction through de-industrialization.

The question for the Church here in Southern Africa is what is God's economic plan for our people? If the collective West feels they are over consuming, too wealthy and using too much harmful energy, well fine for them if they reckon their policy is to de-industrialize. But don't push the global south further into mass poverty as if we are the cause of "climate crisis".

My point is this: Yes there are enormous climate dangers and upheavals in the world. And yes we can see weather systems going crazy world wide. But how do we respond to this?

- 1) First obvious response which comes from the scientists who did this report is that this is normal for planet earth for millions of years. Meaning the earth temperatures have been far higher and far lower than this in history and CO2 levels have been far higher and far lower than now. All without human's affecting any of it.
- 2) Second to blame all this on elevated CO2 levels and further to blame human induced CO2 from burning fossil fuels can be proven false by the physics and chemistry of CO2.....which I will summarize for you from the report.

My policy response to the issue of climate created disasters is this. We cannot affect the world climate or climate variability. In fact we live on a dangerous planet that can kill us though many different ways whether disease pandemics, earthquakes, drought, hunger,

pests etc God made this earth exactly as it is and when He had finished He said in Genesis 1:3 "...it was very good".

What He also did tell all mankind in Genesis 1:26-28 was to "subdue the earth." Meaning He knew exactly the kind of problems we would face but He has equipped us with resources to not just subdue the earth but to grow and flourish and thrive in the midst of all the earth challenges.

Anyone doing basic economics and population welfare study will tell you that regardless of what emergency a nation or people face you need collective resources to "mitigate and solve" the effects of disasters of whatever kind. You need a thriving economy that produces a national surplus of resources to protect your people and recover from natural disasters.

A thriving economy needs an abundance of cheap and abundant energy. Look around you in South Africa and you know of all the challenge we face as a nation we could solve a lot of our social and environmental problems if we had abundant energy and an industrialized economy.

So for the wealthy West to dictate to us a "no-fossil fuels" future while they all built up their industrial modern economies on cheap and abundant fossil fuels and in fact still run their economies on fossil fuels is advice I reject.

Now let me summarize for you what these eminent scientists released in their report. It begins with...

"Climate science should be less political, while climate policies should be more scientific," the declaration begins. **"Scientists should openly address uncertainties and exaggerations in their predictions of global warming, while politicians should dispassionately count the real costs as well as the imagined benefits of their policy measures."**

The group is an independent "climate watchdog" founded in 2019 by emeritus professor of geophysics Guus Berkhout and Marcel Crok, a science journalist. According to its website, the organization's objective is to "generate knowledge and understanding of the causes and effects of climate change as well as the effects of climate policy." And it does so by objectively looking at the facts and engaging in scientific research into climate change and climate policy. The declaration's signatories include Nobel laureates, theoretical physicists, meteorologists, professors, and environmental scientists worldwide.

"The earth has warmed about 2 degrees F since the end of the Little Ice Age around 1850, but that hardly constitutes an emergency—or even a crisis—since the planet has been warmer yet over the last few millennia," Ralph Alexander, a retired physicist and author of the website "Science Under Attack," .

"There is plenty of evidence that average temperatures were higher during the so-called Medieval Warm Period (centered around the year 1000), the Roman Warm Period (when grapes and citrus fruits were grown in now much colder Britain), and in the early Holocene (after the last regular Ice Age ended)." **The climate emergency is "fiction," he said unequivocally.**

Human activities and the resulting greenhouse gases are the cause of global warming, according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Specifically, the IPCC says that in 1750, atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations were 280 parts per million (ppm), and today, the atmospheric CO₂ concentrations are 420 ppm, which affects temperature.

The IPCC is the U.N. body for assessing the "science related to climate change. It was created in 1988 by the World Meteorological Organization and the U.N. Environment Programme to help policymakers develop climate policies.

Edwin Berry, a theoretical physicist and certified consulting meteorologist, said that one of the IPCC's central theories is that natural CO₂ has stayed constant at 280 ppm since 1750 and that human CO₂ is responsible for the 140 ppm increase.

This IPCC theory makes human CO₂ responsible for 33 percent of today's total CO₂ level, he told The Epoch Times. Consequently, to decrease temperatures, the IPCC says, we must reduce human-caused CO₂—thus, the current push by lawmakers and climate activists to forcibly transition the world's transportation to electric vehicles, get rid of fossil fuels, and generally reduce all activities that contribute to human-caused CO₂.

That entire premise, according to Mr. Berry, is problematic. "The public perception of carbon dioxide is that it goes into the atmosphere and stays there," Mr. Berry said. "They think it just accumulates. But it doesn't."

He explained that when you look at the flow of carbon dioxide—"flow" meaning the carbon moving from one carbon reservoir to another, i.e., through photosynthesis, the eating of plants, and back out through respiration—a 140 ppm constant level requires a continual inflow of 40 ppm per year of carbon dioxide, because, according to the IPCC, carbon dioxide has a turnover time of 3.5 years (meaning carbon dioxide molecules stay in the atmosphere for about 3 1/2 years).

"A level of 280 ppm is twice that—80 ppm of inflow. Now, we're saying that the inflow of human carbon dioxide is one-third of the total. Even IPCC data says, 'No, human carbon dioxide inflow is about 5 percent to 7 percent of the total carbon dioxide inflow into the atmosphere,'" he said.

So, to make up for the lack of necessary human-caused carbon dioxide flowing into the atmosphere, the IPCC claims that instead of having a turnover time of 3.5 years, human CO₂ stays in the atmosphere for hundreds or even thousands of years.

"The IPCC is saying that something is different about human carbon dioxide and that it can't flow as fast out of the atmosphere as natural carbon dioxide," Mr. Berry said. "Well, IPCC scientists—when they've gone through, what, billions of dollars?—should have asked a simple question: 'Is a human carbon dioxide molecule exactly identical to a natural carbon dioxide molecule?' And the answer is yes. Of course!"

"Well, if human and natural CO₂ molecules are identical, their outflow times must be identical. So, the whole idea where they say it's in there for hundreds, or thousands, of years, is wrong." Mr. Berry said that means nature—not humans—caused the increase in CO₂. And consequently, attempts to decrease human CO₂ are pointless.

"The belief that human CO₂ drives the CO₂ increase may be the biggest public delusion and most costly fraud in history," Mr. Berry said. One example is a recent research paper that estimated that changes in the sun's output could explain 70 to 80 percent of global warming. Research such as that doesn't gain much traction because the IPCC is committed to the idea that human CO₂ is the cause of global warming.

As further criticism, John Christy, a climatologist and professor of atmospheric science at the University of Alabama in Huntsville and the director of the Earth System Science Center, has clearly demonstrated that climate models exaggerate short-term future warming by two to three times.

To find more accurate measurements, Mr. Christy and Roy Spencer, a climatologist, former NASA scientist, and now a principal research scientist at the University of Alabama in Huntsville, developed a global temperature data set from microwave satellite observations.

They started their project in 1989, analyzed data going back to 1979, and found that, in general, since 1979, the Earth's temperature has increased steadily by 0.23 degrees Fahrenheit every 10 years, according to global satellite data.

And if you want even more amazing actual scientific studies I refer you to the Youtube lectures by Prof. William Happer Professor of Physics at Princeton University one of which is entitled "World in the midst of a Carbon Drought". He shows scientifically how the CO₂ molecule actually does and does not heat the earth's atmosphere as supposed by all the hysteria and that in fact we need a lot more CO₂ if plant life and the biosphere is to thrive. Warning all plant life on earth dies at CO₂ level of 150 ppm.

God's CO₂-Plant-Oxygen Cycle

Fossil fuels and CO₂ are not evil. God made them for us when He made the earth and declared it to be good. We have discovered an abundance of useful energy to subdue the earth with fossil fuels and in the process expelled carbon dioxide into the air. This new abundance of CO₂ in the atmosphere in turn is wonderful plant food. Plants cannot grow without CO₂ and they grow faster and more luxuriously with added CO₂, which is why greenhouses pump extra CO₂ into them to increase the density of CO₂. The plants in

return absorb the CO2 and turn it into glucose/cellulose to grow and breathe out oxygen as waste into the atmosphere....which we humans in turn need to live and survive. Can you see it is all a natural cycle by the Lord. If you think we have too much CO2 then the natural answer is.....plant more trees, flowers, grasses and crops.

Our Abundance in Southern Africa

Off of our coastal regions of Namibia at the Orange river basin, the border with South Africa, Total Energies and Shell have drilled a number of offshore probes to see if and how much oil there is in the Orange River basin. Thus far their results show one of the largest oil basins in the world...over 11 billion barrels of oil. And that is just on the Namibian side. The South African side which is a continuation of the basin has not even had one drill test.

Along with the oil are trillions of cubic feet of natural gas. There are oil and gas reserves there for hundreds of years of abundant energy.

The other fact to keep in mind. We do not use oil for petrol and diesel. It is used around the world far more for the making of Petrochemicals from which thousands of useful household and industrial chemical come from without which we cannot live.

Sasol our major coal to petrol and chemical giant uses 40 million mt of coal a year to provide us with a third of our petrol and diesel and other chemicals. It is a very expensive and dirty way to make fuels and chemicals. And in fact is nearing the end of its usefulness. Using our abundant oil and gas resources we can make fuels and chemicals far more cleaner and cheaper than using 40 coal.

Southern Africa can industrialize and create prosperity for all its people on the abundant supplies of oil and gas from both our east and west coasts. Norway is one of the per capita richest nations on earth. Their national wealth fund comes from abundant oil exports off their coast. The same for Kuwait, the UAE, Qatar, America, Mexico, Russia. Nations have grown rich and powerful on their fossil fuel legacies.

We have equally large fossil fuel sources than all these nations. Whatever climate emergencies lie ahead and all the other emergencies we face in Southern Africa including grinding poverty and social breakdown...we urgently need to reject UN and western lectures on climate morality and look first to our own people and their needs and all the wonderful and bountiful resources that the Lord God has provided us for our needs.

Southern Africa urgently needs to industrialize on cheap and abundant energy....and plant more trees, flowers, grasslands and crops!